

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/657,149	10/657,149 09/09/2003		Yoshiyuki Takata	Q77409	1892			
23373	7590	09/09/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER			
SUGHRUE		PLLC VIA AVENUE, N.W	ASHTON, RO	ASHTON, ROSEMARY E				
SUITE 800	SILVAN	IIA A V LIVOL, IV. V	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER				
WASHING?	ron, do	20037	1752	1752				

DATE MAILED: 09/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

						<u> </u>		
		A	pplication No.		Applicant(s)			
			0/657,149		TAKATA ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		E	xaminer		Art Unit			
			osemary E. Ashton		1752			
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commun	ication appear	s on the cover sheet	t with the co	orrespondence ad	dress		
THE MAILING - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD F 3 DATE OF THIS COMMUN ne may be available under the provisions NTHS from the mailing date of this common reply specified above is less than thirty (3 reply is specified above, the maximum state within the set or extended period for reply ed by the Office later than three months a term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a) nunication. 0) days, a reply with atutory period will al will, by statute, cau). In no event, however, may nin the statutory minimum of pply and will expire SIX (6) N se the application to become	y a reply be time thirty (30) days MONTHS from to BANDONED	ely filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co			
Status								
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>23 Octo</u>	<u>ber 2003</u> .					
2a)☐ This ac	• •		tion is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of C	laims							
 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.3 and 10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 and 4-9 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Paper	ers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 								
. 11) ☐ The oat	h or declaration is objected to	by the Exam	iner. Note the attacl	hed Office	Action or form PT	O-152.		
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice of Drafts 3) Information Dis	ences Cited (PTO-892) sperson's Patent Drawing Review (P closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or ail Date <u>10/23/03</u> .		Paper N)-152) 		

Application/Control Number: 10/657,149 Page 2

Art Unit: 1752

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claim 1 is generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising Formula (VIa) or (VIb). Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. During a telephone conversation with Howard Bernstein on February 4, 2005 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the invention of **Formula (VIa)** in claim 1. Mr. Bernstein requested the Examiner determine the elected species. The Examiner chose the first compound having Formula (VIa). Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

 Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the

Art Unit: 1752

examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claims 1,3,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 07-092681 published 4/7/95, see Caplus abstract DN 124:131526 in view of Kinoshita et al. patent no. 6,479,210.

As shown in the abstract JP '681 teaches a positive chemically amplified resist composition comprising (A) a photo-acid generating compound, (B) a polymer having an acid lable group and (C) a carboxamide (CONH2) compound. The carboxamide compound, adamantane carboxylic acid anilide, (section 127) ,shown below, meets the limitations of formula (VIa) when X is a single bond, A is an alicyclic adamantly group and R14 is an aromatic hydrocarbon. The examples, teach the resist compositions also have a fluorochemical surfactant as in claim 10.

The English translation example 2 in section 142 teaches a resist comprising the compound above (example 12 in Table 2) and the photoacid generator triphenylsulfonium triflate (trifluoromethanesulfonate) and does not have one of the compounds having formulas I and Va-Vc.

Kinoshita teaches a positive chemically amplified photoresist composition wherein the photoacid generator is either triphenylsulfonium triflate or triphenylsulfonium phenylsulfonate (col. 5, lines 61-67 shown below.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,149

Art Unit: 1752

(i) Sulfonium Salt Compounds

Triphenylsulfonium methanesulfonate, triphenylsulfonium trifluoromethanesulfonate, triphenylsulfonium propionate, triphenylsulfonium hexafluoropropanesulfonate, triphenylsulfonium nonafluorobutanesulfonate, triphenylsulfonium phenylsulfonate, triphenylsulfonium

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use triphenylsulfonium phenylsulfonate as the photoacid generator in the invention of JP '681 with a reasonable expectation of obtaining a photoresist composition for exposure to KrF excimer laser light irradiation because Kinoshita teaches either triphenylsulfonium triflate or triphenylsulfonium phenylsulfonate may be used as the photoacid generator in a positive chemically amplified photoresist composition with exposure to KrF excimer laser light irradiation.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 2,4-9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art does not teach the amount of reagents in the photoresist, the amount of monomers and type of monomers in the polymer and other compounds having formula VIa.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosemary E. Ashton whose telephone number is 571-272-1326. The examiner works a part-time work schedule and can normally be reached M-F between 11:30 am 5:30 pm.

If multiple attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia Kelly can be reached at 571-272-1526.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,149

Art Unit: 1752

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

rea

February 6, 2005

Rosemary E. Ashton Primary Examiner Art Unit 1752 Page 5

ROSEMARY ASHTON PRIMARY EXAMINER

19 Selta